



殷亚凤 智能软件与工程学院 苏州校区南雍楼东区225 yafeng@nju.edu.cn,https://yafengnju.github.io/



- Introduction
- Routing protocols
- Intra-ISP routing: OSPF





- forwarding: move packets from router's input to appropriate router output
- data plane

• routing: determine route taken by packets from source to destination

control plane


Two approaches to structuring network control plane:

- per-router control (traditional)
- Iogically centralized control (software defined networking)






Individual routing algorithm components in each and every router interact in the control plane








Remote controller computes, installs forwarding tables in routers






Per-router control plane



SDN control plane








- Introduction
- Routing protocols
- Intra-ISP routing: OSPF






- Routing protocol goal: determine "good" paths (equivalently, routes), from sending hosts to receiving host, through network of routers
- path: sequence of routers packets traverse from given initial source host to final destination host
- "good": least "cost", "fastest", "least congested"
- routing: a "top-10" networking challenge!



Graph abstraction: link costs




c_{a,b}: cost of direct link connecting a and b e.g., c_{w,z} = 5, c_{u,z} = ∞

> cost defined by network operator: could always be 1, or inversely related to bandwidth, or inversely related to congestion

graph: G = (N,E) N: set of routers = { u, v, w, x, y, z } E: set of links ={ (u,v), (u,x), (v,x), (v,w), (x,w), (x,y), (w,y), (w,z), (y,z) }





Dijkstra's link-state routing algorithm

- centralized: network topology, link costs known to all nodes
 - > accomplished via "link state broadcast"
 - > all nodes have same info
- computes least cost paths from one node ("source") to all other nodes
 - > gives forwarding table for that node
- iterative: after k iterations, know least cost path to k destinations

- notation

- C_{x,y}: <u>direct</u> link cost from node x to y; = ∞ if not direct neighbors
- D(v): current estimate of cost of least-cost-path from source to destination v
- p(v): predecessor node along path from source to v
- N': set of nodes whose least-cost-path definitively known



Dijkstra's link-state routing algorithm

- 1 Initialization:
- 2 N' = {u}
- 3 for all nodes v
- 4 if v adjacent to u
- 5 then $D(v) = c_{u,v}$
- 6 else $D(v) = \infty$


- /* compute least cost path from u to all other nodes */
- /* u initially knows direct-path-cost only to direct neighbors */
 /* but may not be minimum cost! */


8 Loop

7

- 9 find w not in N' such that D(w) is a minimum
- 10 add w to N'
- 11 update D(v) for all v adjacent to w and not in N' :
- 12 $D(v) = min (D(v), D(w) + c_{w,v})$
- 13 /* new least-path-cost to v is either old least-cost-path to v or known
- 14 least-cost-path to w plus direct-cost from w to v */
- 15 until all nodes in N'









Initialization (step 0): For all *a*: if *a* adjacent to *u* then $D(a) = c_{u,a}$









8 Loop


9 find a not in N' such that D(a) is a minimum
10 add a to N'





NANJING UNIVE





8 Loop


9 find a not in N' such that D(a) is a minimum 10 add a to N'





Eu-

CX

		V	W	X	У	Z	
Step	N'	D(v),p(v)	D(w),p(w)	D(x),p(x)	D(y),p(y)	D(z),p(z)	
0	u	2,u	5 <i>,</i> u	(1,u)	∞	∞	
1	ux	2,u	4,×		2,X	~	
2	uxy	2,u	З,у			4,y	
2 3			•				
4							
5							
5 2 V 3 2 2	W 5 3 1 Z		10 add 11 upda C	<i>a</i> to N' te <i>D(b)</i> fo D(b) = min (r all <i>b</i> adja (nd not in N':








8 Loop

9 find a not in N' such that D(a) is a minimum
10 add a to N'



			V	W	×	У	Z
Ste	р	N'	D(v),p(v)	D(w),p(w)	D(x),p(x)	D(y),p(y)	D(z),p(z)
	0	u	2,u	5,u	(1,u)	∞	~
	1	ux	2,u	4,×		2x	8
	2	uxy	(2,U)	З,у			4,y
	3	uxyv		3,y			4,y
	4						
_	5						



8 Loop

9 10


11


find a not in N' such that D(a) is a minimum

add *a* to N' update *D(b)* for all *b* adjacent to *a* and not in N': *D(b) =* min (*D(b), D(a) + c_{a,b}*)

 $D(w) = min (D(w), D(v) + c_{v,w}) = min (3, 2+3) = 3$








- 8 Loop
- 9 find a not in N' such that D(a) is a minimum 10 add a to N'



		V	W	×	У	Z
Step	Ν'	D(v),p(v)	D(w),p(w)	D(x),p(x)	D(y),p(y)	D(z),p(z)
0	u	2,u	5 <i>,</i> u	(1,u)	∞	~
1	ux	2,u	4,×		2,X)	8
2	uxy	(2,u)	3,у			4,y
3	uxyv		3,y			4,y
4	uxyvw					4,y
5						



8 Loop

9


11


find a not in N' such that D(a) is a minimum 10 add a to N'

update D(b) for all b adjacent to a and not in N': $D(b) = \min(D(b), D(a) + c_{a,b})$

 $D(z) = min(D(z), D(w) + c_{w,z}) = min(4, 3+5) = 4$








9 find a not in N' such that D(a) is a minimum 10 add a to N'




		V	W	×	У	Z
Step	N'	D(v),p(v)	D(w),p(w)	D(x),p(x)	D(y),p(y)	D(z),p(z)
0	u	2,u	5,u	(1,u)	∞	∞
1	ux	2,u	4,×		2,X	∞
2	uxy	(2,u)	З,у			4,y
3	uxyv		3,y			4,y
4	uxyvw					(4,y)
5	uxyvwz					




8 Loop

- 9 find a not in N' such that D(a) is a minimum
- 10 add *a* to *N*'
- 11 update D(b) for all b adjacent to a and not in N': $D(b) = \min(D(b), D(a) + c_{a,b})$






resulting least-cost-path tree from u:




resulting forwarding table in u:



– route from u to v directly

. route from u to all other destinations via x





notes:

- construct least-cost-path tree by tracing predecessor nodes
- ties can exist (can be broken arbitrarily)

Dijkstra's algorithm: discussion

algorithm complexity: n nodes

- each of n iteration: need to check all nodes, w, not in N
- n(n+1)/2 comparisons: O(n²) complexity
- more efficient implementations possible: O(nlogn)

message complexity:


- each router must broadcast its link state information to other n routers
- efficient (and interesting!) broadcast algorithms: O(n) link crossings to disseminate a broadcast message from one source
- each router's message crosses O(n) links: overall message complexity: O(n²)








Dijkstra's algorithm: oscillations possible

- when link costs depend on traffic volume, route oscillations possible
- sample scenario:
 - > routing to destination a, traffic entering at d, c, e with rates 1, e (<1), 1
 - link costs are directional, and volume-dependent










initially

given these costs, find new routing.... resulting in new costs given these costs, find new routing.... resulting in new costs given these costs, find new routing.... resulting in new costs




Based on Bellman-Ford (BF) equation (dynamic programming):





Suppose that *is* neighboring nodes, x, v, w, know that for destination z.



Bellman-Ford equation says:

$$D_{u}(z) = \min \{ c_{u,v} + D_{v}(z), c_{u,x} + D_{x}(z) \}$$

$$= \min \{2 + 5, 1 + 3, 5 + 3\} = 4$$
node achieving minimum (x) is next

hop on estimated least-cost path to destination (z)



key idea:

- from time-to-time, each node sends its own distance vector estimate to neighbors
- when x receives new DV estimate from any neighbor, it updates its own DV using B-F equation:


 $D_x(y) \leftarrow \min_{v} \{c_{x,v} + D_v(y)\}$ for each node $y \in N$

• under minor, natural conditions, the estimate $D_x(y)$ converge to the actual least cost $d_x(y)$



Distance vector algorithm

each node:



iterative, asynchronous: each local iteration caused by:


- local link cost change
- DV update message from neighbor

distributed, self-stopping: each node notifies neighbors only when its DV changes

- neighbors then notify their neighbors - only if necessary
- no notification received, no actions taken!







() t=0


- All nodes have distance estimates to nearest neighbors (only)
- All nodes send their local distance vector to their neighbors



() †=1

All nodes:

- receive distance vectors from neighbors
- compute their new local distance vector
- send their new local distance vector to neighbors








(7) †=1

All nodes:

 receive distance vectors from neighbors

 compute their new local distance vector

 send their new local distance vector to neighbors








() †=1

All nodes:

- receive distance vectors from neighbors
- compute their new local distance vector
- send their new local distance vector to neighbors








() t=2

All nodes:

- receive distance vectors from neighbors
- compute their new local distance vector
- send their new local distance vector to neighbors










All nodes:

 receive distance vectors from neighbors

 compute their new local distance vector

 send their new local distance vector to neighbors








() t=2

All nodes:

- receive distance vectors from neighbors
- compute their new local distance vector
- send their new local distance vector to neighbors










.... and so on

Let's next take a look at the iterative *computations* at nodes




















c receives DVs from b computes:

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{D}_{c}(a) = \min\{c_{c,b} + \mathsf{D}_{b}(a\}\} = 1 + 8 = 9\\ \mathsf{D}_{c}(b) = \min\{c_{c,b} + \mathsf{D}_{b}(b)\} = 1 + 0 = 1\\ \mathsf{D}_{c}(d) = \min\{c_{c,b} + \mathsf{D}_{b}(d)\} = 1 + \infty = \infty\\ \mathsf{D}_{c}(e) = \min\{c_{c,b} + \mathsf{D}_{b}(e)\} = 1 + 1 = 2\\ \mathsf{D}_{c}(f) = \min\{c_{c,b} + \mathsf{D}_{b}(f)\} = 1 + \infty = \infty\\ \mathsf{D}_{c}(g) = \min\{c_{c,b} + \mathsf{D}_{b}(g)\} = 1 + \infty = \infty\\ \mathsf{D}_{c}(h) = \min\{c_{c,b} + \mathsf{D}_{b}(h)\} = 1 + \infty = \infty\\ \mathsf{D}_{c}(i) = \min\{c_{c,b} + \mathsf{D}_{b}(i)\} = 1 + \infty = \infty\end{array}$

DV in c:
$D_{c}(a) = 9$ $D_{c}(b) = 1$ $D_{c}(c) = 0$ $D_{c}(d) = 2$ $D_{c}(e) = \infty$ $D_{c}(f) = \infty$ $D_{c}(g) = \infty$ $D_{c}(h) = \infty$ $D_{c}(i) = \infty$






Distance vector: state information diffusion

Iterative communication, computation steps diffuses information through network:

- c's state at t=0 is at c only **t=0**
- c's state at t=0 has propagated to b, and t=1 may influence distance vector computations up to 1 hop away, i.e., at b

- c's state at t=0 may now influence distance vector computations up to 2 hops away, i.e., at b and now at a, e as well
 - c's state at t=0 may influence distance **t=**3 vector computations up to 3 hops away, i.e., at d, f, h
- †=4


c's state at t=0 may influence distance vector computations up to 4 hops away, i.e., at g, i





link cost changes:

- node detects local link cost change
- updates routing info, recalculates local DV
- if DV changes, notify neighbors



 t_0 : y detects link-cost change, updates its DV, informs its neighbors.

"good news travels fast"

t₁: z receives update from y, updates its DV, computes new least cost
 to x , sends its neighbors its DV.

t₂: y receives z's update, updates its DV. y's least costs do not change, so y does not send a message to z.




Distance vector: link cost changes

link cost changes:

...

- node detects local link cost change
- "bad news travels slow" count-to-infinity problem:



- y sees direct link to x has new cost 60, but z has said it has a path at cost of 5. So y computes "my new cost to x will be 6, via z); notifies z of new cost of 6 to x.
- z learns that path to x via y has new cost 6, so z computes "my new cost to x will be 7 via y), notifies y of new cost of 7 to x.
- y learns that path to x via z has new cost 7, so y computes "my new cost to x will be 8 via y), notifies z of new cost of 8 to x.
- z learns that path to x via y has new cost 8, so z computes "my new cost to x will be 9 via y), notifies y of new cost of 9 to x.
- see text for solutions. Distributed algorithms are tricky!





message complexity

LS: *n* routers, $O(n^2)$ messages sent DV: exchange between neighbors; convergence time varies

speed of convergence

- LS: O(n²) algorithm, O(n²) messages
 - may have oscillations
- **DV**: convergence time varies
- may have routing loops
- count-to-infinity problem

robustness: what happens if router malfunctions, or is compromised?

LS:

- router can advertise incorrect *link* cost
- each router computes only its own table

DV:

- DV router can advertise incorrect path cost ("I have a really low-cost path to everywhere"): black-holing
- each router's DV is used by others: error propagate thru network



- Introduction
- Routing protocols
- Intra-ISP routing: OSPF





our routing study thus far - idealized

- all routers identical
- network "flat"

... not true in practice

scale: billions of destinations:

- can't store all destinations in routing tables!
- routing table exchange would swamp links!

administrative autonomy:

- Internet: a network of networks
- each network admin may want to control routing in its own network



Internet approach to scalable routing

aggregate routers into regions known as "autonomous systems" (AS) (a.k.a. "domains")

intra-AS (aka "intra-domain"): routing
among routers within same AS
("network")


- all routers in AS must run same intradomain protocol
- routers in different AS can run different intra-domain routing protocols
- gateway router: at "edge" of its own AS, has link(s) to router(s) in other AS'es

inter-AS (aka "inter-domain"): routing among AS'es

 gateways perform inter-domain routing (as well as intra-domain routing)







forwarding table configured by intraand inter-AS routing algorithms

- intra-AS routing determine entries for destinations within AS
- inter-AS & intra-AS determine entries for external destinations






- suppose router in AS1 receives datagram destined outside of AS1:
- P > router should forward packet to gateway router in AS1, but which one?

AS1 inter-domain routing must:

- 1. learn which destinations reachable through AS2, which through AS3
- 2. propagate this reachability info to all routers in AS1








- "open": publicly available
- classic link-state
 - each router floods OSPF link-state advertisements (directly over IP rather than using TCP/UDP) to all other routers in entire AS
 - > multiple link costs metrics possible: bandwidth, delay
 - each router has full topology, uses Dijkstra's algorithm to compute forwarding table
 - security: all OSPF messages authenticated (to prevent malicious intrusion)





- two-level hierarchy: local area, backbone.
 - link-state advertisements flooded only in area, or backbone
 - each node has detailed area topology; only knows direction to reach other destinations





Q & A

殷亚凤 智能软件与工程学院 苏州校区南雍楼东区225 yafeng@nju.edu.cn,https://yafengnju.github.io/

